

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

April 17, 2012

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 11-10850

Conference Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

RANDY NEECE,

Defendant-Appellant  
\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:11-CR-84-1  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before JONES, Chief Judge, and JOLLY and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Appealing the judgment in a criminal case, Randy Neece raises arguments that are foreclosed by *United States v. Brown*, 920 F.2d 1212, 1216-17 (5th Cir. 1991), *abrogated on other grounds by United States v. Candia*, 454 F.3d 468, 472-73 (5th Cir. 2006), which held that a district court has the discretion to order a federal sentence to run consecutively to a yet-to-be-imposed state sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3584. *See Setser v. United States*, \_\_\_ S. Ct. \_\_\_, No. 10-7387, 2012 WL 1019970, at \*\*2-8 (Mar. 28, 2012). The Government's motion for

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 11-10850

summary affirmance is GRANTED, the Government's alternative motion for an extension of time to file a brief is DENIED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.